## Efficient Implementation of Bayesian Hierarchical Linear Models

September 18, 2019

Scaling Problems in Statistics 2019

## Bayesian hierarchical linear mixed model

 $p( heta) imes N(eta \,|\, oldsymbol{\mu}_eta, oldsymbol{\Sigma}_eta) imes N(oldsymbol{lpha} \,|\, oldsymbol{0}, oldsymbol{\mathsf{K}}( heta)) imes N(oldsymbol{\mathsf{y}} \,|\, oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}eta + oldsymbol{\mathsf{Z}}( heta) oldsymbol{lpha}, oldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}( heta))$ 

- **b y** is an  $n \times 1$  vector of possibly irregularly located observations,
- **X** is a known  $n \times p$  matrix of regressors (p < n),
- K(θ) and D(θ) are families of r × r and n × n covariance matrices, respectively,
- ► **Z**( $\theta$ ) is  $n \times r$  with  $r \le n$ , all indexed by a set of unknown process parameters  $\theta$ .
- $\alpha$  is the  $r \times 1$  random vector and  $\beta$  is the  $p \times 1$  slope vector.

## Bayesian hierarchical linear mixed model

 $p( heta) imes N(eta \,|\, oldsymbol{\mu}_eta, oldsymbol{\Sigma}_eta) imes N(oldsymbol{lpha} \,|\, oldsymbol{0}, oldsymbol{\mathsf{K}}( heta)) imes N(oldsymbol{\mathsf{y}} \,|\, oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}eta + oldsymbol{\mathsf{Z}}( heta) oldsymbol{lpha}, oldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}( heta))$ 

- **• y** is an  $n \times 1$  vector of possibly irregularly located observations,
- **X** is a known  $n \times p$  matrix of regressors (p < n),
- K(θ) and D(θ) are families of r × r and n × n covariance matrices, respectively,
- ► **Z**( $\theta$ ) is  $n \times r$  with  $r \le n$ , all indexed by a set of unknown process parameters  $\theta$ .
- $\alpha$  is the  $r \times 1$  random vector and  $\beta$  is the  $p \times 1$  slope vector.

Space-varying intercept model is a special case where  $\mathbf{D}(\theta) = \tau^2 I_n$ ,  $\alpha = (w(\mathbf{s}_1), w(\mathbf{s}_2), \dots, w(\mathbf{s}_n))^\top$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}(\theta) = I_n$ , and the  $n \times n$  $\mathbf{K}(\theta) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{R}(\phi)$ .

$$p(\theta \mid \mathbf{y}) \propto p(\theta) imes N(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{eta}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta}),$$

where  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{X}^{\top} + \mathbf{Z}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{K}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{Z}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{\top} + \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\theta}).$ 

This involves evaluating

$$\log p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{y}) = \text{const} + \log p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y|\theta}| - \frac{1}{2} Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) ,$$

$$p(\theta \mid \mathbf{y}) \propto p(\theta) imes N(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{eta}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta}),$$

where  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\beta} \mathbf{X}^{\top} + \mathbf{Z}(\theta) \mathbf{K}(\theta) \mathbf{Z}(\theta)^{\top} + \mathbf{D}(\theta).$ 

This involves evaluating

$$\log p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{y}) = \text{const} + \log p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y|\boldsymbol{\theta}}| - \frac{1}{2} Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) ,$$

where  $Q(\theta) = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\beta})^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y} \mid \theta}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\beta}).$ 

1.  $\mathbf{L} = \operatorname{chol}(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta})$ , lower-triangular Cholesky factor  $\mathbf{L}$  of  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta}$ ( $O(n^3/3)$  flops)

$$p(\theta \mid \mathbf{y}) \propto p(\theta) imes N(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{eta}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta}),$$

where  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\beta} \mathbf{X}^{\top} + \mathbf{Z}(\theta) \mathbf{K}(\theta) \mathbf{Z}(\theta)^{\top} + \mathbf{D}(\theta).$ 

This involves evaluating

$$\log p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{y}) = \text{const} + \log p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y|\boldsymbol{\theta}}| - \frac{1}{2} Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) ,$$

- 1.  $\mathbf{L} = \operatorname{chol}(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta})$ , lower-triangular Cholesky factor  $\mathbf{L}$  of  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta}$ ( $O(n^3/3)$  flops)
- 2.  $\mathbf{u} = \texttt{trsolve}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{y} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{eta})$ , solves  $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{y} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{eta}$  (O(n<sup>2</sup>) flops)

$$p(\theta \mid \mathbf{y}) \propto p(\theta) imes N(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{eta}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta}),$$

where  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\beta} \mathbf{X}^{\top} + \mathbf{Z}(\theta) \mathbf{K}(\theta) \mathbf{Z}(\theta)^{\top} + \mathbf{D}(\theta).$ 

This involves evaluating

$$\log p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{y}) = \text{const} + \log p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y|\boldsymbol{\theta}}| - \frac{1}{2} Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) ,$$

- 1.  $\mathbf{L} = \operatorname{chol}(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta})$ , lower-triangular Cholesky factor  $\mathbf{L}$  of  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta}$ ( $O(n^3/3)$  flops)
- 2.  $\mathbf{u} = \texttt{trsolve}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{y} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{eta})$ , solves  $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{y} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{eta}$  ( $O(n^2)$  flops)
- 3.  $Q(\theta) = \mathbf{u}^{\top}\mathbf{u}$  (2*n* flops)

$$p(\theta \mid \mathbf{y}) \propto p(\theta) imes N(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\beta}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta}),$$

where  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\beta} \mathbf{X}^{\top} + \mathbf{Z}(\theta) \mathbf{K}(\theta) \mathbf{Z}(\theta)^{\top} + \mathbf{D}(\theta).$ 

This involves evaluating

$$\log p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{y}) = \text{const} + \log p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y|\boldsymbol{\theta}}| - \frac{1}{2} Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) ,$$

- 1.  $\mathbf{L} = \operatorname{chol}(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta})$ , lower-triangular Cholesky factor  $\mathbf{L}$  of  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{y \mid \theta}$ ( $O(n^3/3)$  flops)
- 2.  $\mathbf{u} = \texttt{trsolve}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{y} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{eta})$ , solves  $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{y} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{eta}$  (O(n<sup>2</sup>) flops)
- 3.  $Q(\theta) = \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{u} (2n \text{ flops})$
- 4. log-determinant is  $2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log I_{ii}$ , where  $I_{ii}$  are the diagonal entries in **L** (n flops)

Given marginal posterior samples  $\theta$  from  $p(\theta | \mathbf{y})$ , we can draw posterior samples of  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  using *composition sampling*.

We'll consider a portion of this algorithm in a subsequent exercise.

For more details see Finley, A.O., S. Banerjee, A.E. Gelfand. (2015) spBayes for large univariate and multivariate point-referenced spatio-temporal data models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, **63**:1–28.